

**Panel Session and
Paper Discussion
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): _____

Panel Session or
Paper Discussion: Attorney / RPM panel

Date: 5.27.04

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____

I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: 19

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): B

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: Pipeline discussion

Lengthened: attorney perceptions

Added: personality profile compatibility

Omitted: _____

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

___ Yes ___ No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

pipeline discussion not particularly useful for agenda. It's ~~really~~ relatively easy to see attorney role in process & where they fit in, but it doesn't really add to relationship discussion - most of conflicts relate to personalities - it would be helpful to explore ~~Debate attorneys w/ technical culture~~ ^{conflict resolution} through personality mixing/interaction

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

* PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. *

**Panel Session and
Paper Discussion
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): _____

Panel Session or
Paper Discussion: ATTY / RPM PANEL

Date: 5/27/04

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____

I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: 10

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): A

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: BEP P / ISSUES W/ DEVELOPERS TAKING
Lengthened: OVER PROP
Added: _____
Omitted: _____

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

Yes No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

**Panel Session and
Paper Discussion
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): Nabil Fsyouni

Panel Session or
Paper Discussion: Attorney and RPM panel

Date: 5/27/04

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____

I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: 1 1/2

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): A

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Added: _____

Omitted: _____

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

Yes No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

**Panel Session and
Paper Discussion
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): _____

Panel Session or
Paper Discussion: ATTORNEYS & RPMS. PANELS

Date: 5/27

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____

I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: 9

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): B

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Added: _____

Omitted: _____

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

Yes No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

**Panel Session and
Paper Discussion
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): _____

Panel Session or Paper Discussion: Attorneys & RPM

Date: 05/27/04

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____
I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: _____

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): A

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.
 Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree
Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The session was well organized.
 Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree
Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.
 Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree
 No Case Studies or Examples Were Used
Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Added: _____

Omitted: _____

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

Yes No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

**Panel Session and
Paper Discussion
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): _____

Panel Session or
Paper Discussion: ATTY AND RPM PANEL

Date: 5-27-04

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____

I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: _____

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): A

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Added: _____

Omitted: _____

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

___ Yes ___ No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

**Panel Session and
Paper Discussion
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): _____

Panel Session or Paper Discussion: Attorney and RPM Panel

Date: 5/27/04

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____

I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: 6

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): A

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Added: _____

Omitted: _____

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

____ Yes ____ No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

**Panel Session and
Paper Discussion
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): _____

Panel Session or Paper Discussion: Attorney and RPM Panel

Date: 5/27/04

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) State

I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: 7

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): A

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Added: _____

Omitted: _____

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

Yes No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

**Panel Session and
Paper Discussion
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): _____

Panel Session or
Paper Discussion: RPM and Attorney Panel

Date: 5/27/04

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____

I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: 1

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): A

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: more specific examples of RPM & Atty. work together

Added: _____

Omitted: _____

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

Yes _____ No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

**Panel Session and
Paper Discussion
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): _____

Panel Session or
Paper Discussion: Attorney and RPM Panel

Date: 5/27/04

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____

I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: 4

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): A

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: Great way to highlight some
of the issues

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: The ~~topic~~ session should be
expanded.

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: All

Added: _____

Omitted: _____

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

___ Yes ___ No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

The topic generated a lot of discussion
which could have benefitted from more time.

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

**Panel Session and
Paper Discussion
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): ROBERT SANCHEZ

Panel Session or
Paper Discussion: RPM & ATTORNEY PANEL

Date: 5/26/04

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____

I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: _____

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): A

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: UNDERSTANDING OF ATTORNEYS
VIEW POINT AND "WHAT IS IMPORTANT TO THEM"

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Added: _____

Omitted: _____

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

Yes No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

Really like the discussion on
BROWN FIELDS.

CAN WE INCORPORATE A
BROWN FIELDS COURSE INTO NARPM?

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

**Panel Session and
Paper Discussion
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): _____

Panel Session or
Paper Discussion: _____

Date: _____

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____

I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: _____

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): _____

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Added: _____

Omitted: _____

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

___ Yes ___ No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

ADD BFPP & BROWNFIELD
DISCUSSION

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

**Panel Session and
Paper Discussion
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): _____

Panel Session or
Paper Discussion: Attorney - RPM Panel

Date: 5/27/04

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____

I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: 19

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): _____

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Added: _____

Omitted: _____

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

Yes No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

*next year - an interactive attorney - RPM - project mgmt.
course like a course offered at <http://www.gpsadvantage.com>
(I'm not promoting that particular one, but just want to
highlight a course where attorneys + RPMs can learn
about the best ways to work together).*

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

**Panel Session and
Paper Discussion
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): _____

Panel Session or
Paper Discussion: ATTORNEY & RPM

Date: 5/27/04

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____

I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: 15

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): _____

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: MORE WOULD BE USEFUL

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Added: DISCUSSION OF ATTORNEY RELATIONSHIP OF RPM

Omitted: AND PRP

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

___ Yes PARTLY ___ No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

WAY NO HANDOUTS - EVEN OF PRESENTATION
SLIDES

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

**Panel Session and
Paper Discussion
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): _____

Panel Session or Paper Discussion: attorney and RPM Panel

Date: May 27, 2004

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____

I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: 14

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): B

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: longer session

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Added: _____

Omitted: _____

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

Yes No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

managing expectations - what attorneys
should expect from KPM and vice
versa

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

**Panel Session and
Paper Discussion
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): _____

Panel Session or Paper Discussion: RPM and Attorney Relationship

Date: 5-27

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____

I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: 5 1/2

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): B

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: Make it a longer
session

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Added: _____

Omitted: _____

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

Yes No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

**Panel Session and
Paper Discussion
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): _____

Panel Session or
Paper Discussion: ATTORNEY AND RPM PANEL

Date: 05/27/05

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____

I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: _____

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): A

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Added: _____

Omitted: _____

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

Yes No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

**Panel Session and
Paper Discussion
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): Rob Weber

Panel Session or Paper Discussion: Attorney RPM Panel Discussion

Date: 5-27-04

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) KANSAS Dept Health & Env

I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: 12

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): A

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: TO 4 hour PANEL

Added: _____

Omitted: _____

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

Yes No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: Rob Weber

E-mail: rweber@KDHE.STATE.KS.US

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

**Panel Session and
Paper Discussion
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): _____

Panel Session or
Paper Discussion: RPM and ORC panel

Date: 5/27/04

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____

I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: 10

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): A

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Added: _____

Omitted: _____

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

Yes No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

*Describe problems and solutions between RPM/ORC.
Not always RPM/ORC relationships are peaches
and cream, lets be realistic.*

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

**Panel Session and
Paper Discussion
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): _____

Panel Session or
Paper Discussion: Atty + RPM Panel

Date: _____

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____

I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: 15+

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): ~~B~~ A

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: Bill + Katherine
best presentation!

Really good, too!

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: pipeline session could be shortened.
Lengthened: _____
Added: _____
Omitted: _____

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

Yes No

Why or why not? good RZ

Additional Comments:

very good closing
comments by RZ (marla)
at all
good overall
job!!!
great overall session!

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

* PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. *

**Panel Session and
Paper Discussion
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): _____

Panel Session or
Paper Discussion: Attorney / RPM - Relationships

Date: May 27, 2004

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____

I am: RPM Other (Specify) COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT COORDINATOR
(CIC)

Number of years of experience in your present position: 15

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): A

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: NO MENTION OF COMMUNITY IN
PROCESS

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: EXCEPT IT WAS VOID OF PRP ADDED
PLAYERS KNOWN AS - PUBLIC RELATIONS FIRMS

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Added: RELATIONSHIP WITH COMMUNITIES + INCLUDE CIC AS PARTNER

Omitted: _____

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

Yes No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments: THE PRESENTATION WAS VOID OF.

1. COMMUNITY ISSUES & IMPACT OF THEM ON THE PROCESS
2. THE IMPACT OF PRP INCLUSION OF PUBLIC RELATIONS FIRMS
3. A TEAM MEMBER INCLUDES THE CIC
4. THE IMPACT OF TAP AS PART OF AOC
- 5.

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: Rafael P. Gonzalez

E-mail: gonzalez.rafael.p@EPA.gov.

* PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. *