

**Panel Session and
Paper Discussion
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): _____

Panel Session or Paper Discussion: NPL sites close-out procedure

Date: _____

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) state

I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: 7 years

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): B+

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Added: _____

Omitted: _____

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

Yes No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

**Panel Session and
Paper Discussion
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): _____

Panel Session or Paper Discussion: PCC

Date: _____

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____

I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: _____

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): _____

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Added: _____

Omitted: _____

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

___ Yes ___ No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

Course Evaluation Form



2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA

Name (optional): _____

Course Title: Close Out

Date: 05/26/04

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____
I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: _____

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the course a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): A

2. Overall, the presentation of the course was appropriate for my level of experience.
 Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree
Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The content of the course is relevant for my job responsibilities.
 Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree
Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the course.
 Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree
 No Case Studies or Examples Were Used
Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The course has a good balance of lecture material, visual aids, case studies or examples, and discussion time.
 Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree
Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. The course materials will be useful as a reference for my job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List course topics or concepts that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Omitted: _____

Added: _____

8. Do you believe the instructional methodology used for this course is the most appropriate, or do you think an alternative method, such as videotape-based training, panel discussions, or computer-based training, might be employed?

9. Would you recommend this course to a colleague? ___ Yes ___ No

Why or why not? _____

10. Did the abstract and/or name of the course meet your expectations? ___ Yes ___ No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

*Provide on Web site a list of
OU specific activities vs Site specific
Activities regarding Milestone -*

Would you be interested in developing and/or instructing future offerings of this topic or course? If so, please print your name and e-mail address:

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

**Panel Session and
Paper Discussion
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): _____

Panel Session or Paper Discussion: Post-Construction Completion

Date: 5/27/04

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____

I am: RPM Other (Specify) HA Support

Number of years of experience in your present position: 1

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): B

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: time schedule was off -

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: very little information abt. The actual sites - just said they were deleted successfully

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: perhaps actually do close-out
Training

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: case studies + examples

Added: _____

Omitted: _____

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

Yes No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

**Course
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): _____

Course Title: CLOSE OUT PROCEDURES

Date: 5/27/04

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____
I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: 15

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the course a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): C

2. Overall, the presentation of the course was appropriate for my level of experience.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The content of the course is relevant for my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the course.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree
 No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The course has a good balance of lecture material, visual aids, case studies or examples, and discussion time.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. The course materials will be useful as a reference for my job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List course topics or concepts that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Omitted: _____

Added: _____

8. Do you believe the instructional methodology used for this course is the most appropriate, or do you think an alternative method, such as videotape-based training, panel discussions, or computer-based training, might be employed?

9. Would you recommend this course to a colleague? Yes No

Why or why not? _____

10. Did the abstract and/or name of the course meet your expectations? Yes No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

Would you be interested in developing and/or instructing future offerings of this topic or course? If so, please print your name and e-mail address:

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

**Panel Session and
Paper Discussion
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): Terusa Reinig

Panel Session or
Paper Discussion: Close out NPL Sites

Date: 5-27-04 10⁴⁵-12³⁰

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) USACE

I am: RPM Other (Specify) Proj Manager

Number of years of experience in your present position: 4

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): B

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: Q & A found helpful

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Added: _____

Omitted: _____

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

___ Yes ___ No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

Course Evaluation Form



2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA

Name (optional): ROBERT SANCHEZ

Course Title: POST CONSTRUCTION ~~PRO~~ COMPLETION

Date: 5/26/04

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent:

EPA

Other (Specify) _____

I am:

RPM

Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: _____

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the course a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): A

2. Overall, the presentation of the course was appropriate for my level of experience.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: A GOOD REVIEW OF BASICS WITH SPECIFIC DETAILS AND EXAMPLES.

3. The content of the course is relevant for my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the course.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The course has a good balance of lecture material, visual aids, case studies or examples, and discussion time.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: I LIKE THAT MORE INFO IS ON WEB SITE.

6. The course materials will be useful as a reference for my job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: WEB SITE WILL BE HELPFUL.

7. List course topics or concepts that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Omitted: _____

Added: _____

8. Do you believe the instructional methodology used for this course is the most appropriate, or do you think an alternative method, such as videotape-based training, panel discussions, or computer-based training, might be employed? Yes

9. Would you recommend this course to a colleague? Yes No

Why or why not? GOOD INFO ON • CLOSE OJTS

10. Did the abstract and/or name of the course meet your expectations? Yes No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

Would you be interested in developing and/or instructing future offerings of this topic or course? If so, please print your name and e-mail address:

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

**Panel Session and
Paper Discussion
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): Rob Pope

Panel Session or Paper Discussion: Close Out Procedures

Date: 5/27/04

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____

I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: 13

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): _____

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree
 No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: More info on RS
case studies would be good. Some
slides would have been good

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: Good Discussion

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: It should be more
meaty.

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: HQ talking

Lengthened: Case Studies

Added: Community input

Omitted: _____

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

Yes No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

Good Moderator - had prepared
questions.

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

Course Evaluation Form



2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA

Name (optional): _____

Course Title: Superfund Close-out Procedures

Date: 5-27-04

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____
I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: 14

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the course a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): B

2. Overall, the presentation of the course was appropriate for my level of experience.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The content of the course is relevant for my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the course.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The course has a good balance of lecture material, visual aids, case studies or examples, and discussion time.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. The course materials will be useful as a reference for my job.
- Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List course topics or concepts that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Omitted: Success Stories (Mound Doe & Private Sites) -

Added: It would have been helpful if there were handouts or overheads.

8. Do you believe the instructional methodology used for this course is the most appropriate, or do you think an alternative method, such as videotape-based training, panel discussions, or computer-based training, might be employed?

9. Would you recommend this course to a colleague? Yes No

Why or why not? Because of HQ Perspective & Activities to Complete NPL Sites.

10. Did the abstract and/or name of the course meet your expectations? Yes No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

Slides 12 & 13 Containment Remedy Pipeline & Restoration Remedy Pipeline (Fund and PPP) contain important information and should be a full page. The slides as presented were hard to see.

Would you be interested in developing and/or instructing future offerings of this topic or course? If so, please print your name and e-mail address:

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

**Course
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): JOANNA KNIGHT

Course Title: PCC

Date: 27 May 2004

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____
I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: 3

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the course a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): B

2. Overall, the presentation of the course was appropriate for my level of experience.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The content of the course is relevant for my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: I thought this was for construction completeness not post CC!

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the course.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The course has a good balance of lecture material, visual aids, case studies or examples, and discussion time.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. The course materials will be useful as a reference for my job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List course topics or concepts that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____
Lengthened: _____
Omitted: _____
Added: _____

8. Do you believe the instructional methodology used for this course is the most appropriate, or do you think an alternative method, such as videotape-based training, panel discussions, or computer-based training, might be employed?

yes

9. Would you recommend this course to a colleague? Yes No

Why or why not? *to 5 yr Reviewers*

10. Did the abstract and/or name of the course meet your expectations? Yes No

Why or why not? *Not CC, but PCC should be emphasized.*

Additional Comments:

Would you be interested in developing and/or instructing future offerings of this topic or course? If so, please print your name and e-mail address:

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

**Panel Session and
Paper Discussion
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): _____

Panel Session or
Paper Discussion: Close-Out Procedures

Date: 5/27/04

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____

I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: 17

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): ~~_____~~ D

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: Provide a fact sheet with definitions/
operable units, POR, etc. Describe statutory requirements
vs. EPA's record-keeping + accomplishment tracking.

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: The case study was not discussed with
enough detail to enable a full discussion with
the audience.

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: But they were barely touched upon.

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: CERCLIS DEFINITIONS - SITE-WIDE / OP. UNIT

Added: LEGAL DISCUSSION + (POLITICAL DISCUSSION) - WHY WE
~~OMITTED~~ HAVE CREATED THE CERCLIS DEFINITIONS +

TRACKING + WHAT IS REQUIRED BY STATUTE + THE 5 YEAR REVIEW.

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

Yes No

Why or why not? _____

+ SHOWING EPA'S ACCOMPLISHMENTS.

Additional Comments:

The success story was a success because it was easy - PRP + State support - but how was PRP + State support gathered what did the RPM do to get the support that made the project go so smoothly? How about case examples where there are problems + we can discuss ways to resolve the problems.

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

* PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. *

The Q+A at the end ~~of~~ ^{with} Karen + Rafael touched on the important areas. This could have been the outline for the talk given by a panel member.

**Course
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): _____

Course Title: Close-out Procedures for NPL sites

Date: 5-27-04

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____
I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: 13

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the course a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): C

2. Overall, the presentation of the course was appropriate for my level of experience.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: TO basic

3. The content of the course is relevant for my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the course.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The course has a good balance of lecture material, visual aids, case studies or examples, and discussion time.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. The course materials will be useful as a reference for my job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List course topics or concepts that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Omitted: _____

Added: _____

8. Do you believe the instructional methodology used for this course is the most appropriate, or do you think an alternative method, such as videotape-based training, panel discussions, or computer-based training, might be employed?

This course should be taken to the regional and not to the NARPM conference

9. Would you recommend this course to a colleague? ___ Yes No

Why or why not? _____

10. Did the abstract and/or name of the course meet your expectations? ___ Yes No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

Would you be interested in developing and/or instructing future offerings of this topic or course? If so, please print your name and e-mail address:

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

Course Evaluation Form



2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA

Name (optional): _____

Course Title: Close-Out Procedures For NPL Sites Panel

Date: May 27, 2004

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____

I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: 8

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the course a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): A-

2. Overall, the presentation of the course was appropriate for my level of experience.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The content of the course is relevant for my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the course.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The course has a good balance of lecture material, visual aids, case studies or examples, and discussion time.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. The course materials will be useful as a reference for my job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List course topics or concepts that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Omitted: _____

Added: _____

8. Do you believe the instructional methodology used for this course is the most appropriate, or do you think an alternative method, such as videotape-based training, panel discussions, or computer-based training, might be employed?

9. Would you recommend this course to a colleague? Yes No

Why or why not? _____

10. Did the abstract and/or name of the course meet your expectations? Yes No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

Would you be interested in developing and/or instructing future offerings of this topic or course? If so, please print your name and e-mail address:

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

**Course
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): William A. McFarland

Course Title: Close Out Procedures for NPL Sites Panel

Date: Thurs., 5/27/04

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
I am: RPM Other (Specify) Senior Project Manager

Number of years of experience in your present position: 15

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the course a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): B

2. Overall, the presentation of the course was appropriate for my level of experience.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The content of the course is relevant for my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the course.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The course has a good balance of lecture material, visual aids, case studies or examples, and discussion time.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. The course materials will be useful as a reference for my job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List course topics or concepts that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Omitted: _____

Added: _____

8. Do you believe the instructional methodology used for this course is the most appropriate, or do you think an alternative method, such as videotape-based training, panel discussions, or computer-based training, might be employed?

9. Would you recommend this course to a colleague? Yes No

Why or why not? _____

10. Did the abstract and/or name of the course meet your expectations? Yes No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

Would you be interested in developing and/or instructing future offerings of this topic or course? If so, please print your name and e-mail address:

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

Course Evaluation Form



2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA

Name (optional): _____

Course Title: Close-out Procedures for NPDES - Silver Point

Date: 5/27/04

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____
I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: _____

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the course a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): A

2. Overall, the presentation of the course was appropriate for my level of experience.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The content of the course is relevant for my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the course.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The course has a good balance of lecture material, visual aids, case studies or examples, and discussion time.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. The course materials will be useful as a reference for my job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List course topics or concepts that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____
Lengthened: _____
Omitted: _____
Added: _____

8. Do you believe the instructional methodology used for this course is the most appropriate, or do you think an alternative method, such as videotape-based training, panel discussions, or computer-based training, might be employed?

Panel Only

9. Would you recommend this course to a colleague? Yes No

Why or why not? _____

10. Did the abstract and/or name of the course meet your expectations? Yes No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

*Moderator was excellent. Not a panel
question that we all ~~did~~ wanted to
know.*

Would you be interested in developing and/or instructing future offerings of this topic or course? If so, please print your name and e-mail address:

Name: _____
E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

**Panel Session and
Paper Discussion
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): _____

Panel Session or
Paper Discussion: NPL close out procedures

Date: 05/27/05

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____

I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: _____

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): A

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____
Lengthened: _____
Added: _____
Omitted: _____

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

Yes No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

**Panel Session and
Paper Discussion
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): _____

Panel Session or Paper Discussion: PCC

Date: 5/27/04

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____

I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: 10

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): B

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Added: _____

Omitted: _____

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

___ Yes ___ No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

**Panel Session and
Paper Discussion
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): _____

Panel Session or
Paper Discussion: CLOSE OUT COURSE

Date: 5-27-04

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____

I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: 14

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): B

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: NOT NECESSARY

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____
Lengthened: _____
Added: _____
Omitted: _____

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

Yes No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

**Panel Session and
Paper Discussion
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): _____

Panel Session or
Paper Discussion: Superfund close-out Procedures

Date: 5/27/04

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____

I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: _____

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): A

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Added: _____

Omitted: _____

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

Yes No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

**Panel Session and
Paper Discussion
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): _____

Panel Session or
Paper Discussion: _____

Date: POST CONSTRUCTION COMPLETION

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____

I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: 10

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): C+

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Added: _____

Omitted: _____

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

___ Yes ___ No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

**Panel Session and
Paper Discussion
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): _____

Panel Session or Paper Discussion: Close Out Procedures

Date: Thursday

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____

I am: RPM Other (Specify) HQ support

Number of years of experience in your present position: 3

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): B

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: Should be expanded to
more training on close-out process w/
ample time for case study + questions

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: Case studies

Added: _____

Omitted: _____

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

Yes No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

**Panel Session and
Paper Discussion
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): BYRON MAH

Panel Session or
Paper Discussion: CLOSEOUT PROCEDURES

Date: 5/27/04

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____

I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: 6

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): _____

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: MORE DETAIL WOULD HAVE
BEEN Better. Thanks

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Added: _____

Omitted: _____

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

Yes No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***