

**Panel Session and  
Paper Discussion  
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION  
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS  
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE  
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): \_\_\_\_\_

Panel Session or Paper Discussion: Fed Fac Reuse

Date: 5/27/04

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent:  EPA  Other (Specify) \_\_\_\_\_

I am:  RPM  Other (Specify) \_\_\_\_\_

Number of years of experience in your present position: 2

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): B+

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree  Agree  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree  Agree  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree  Agree  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree     Agree     Disagree     Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree     Agree     Disagree     Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: \_\_\_\_\_

Lengthened: \_\_\_\_\_

Added: \_\_\_\_\_

Omitted: \_\_\_\_\_

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

Yes                       No

Why or why not? \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Additional Comments:

*It is extremely helpful to hear from  
the RPMs (it is NARPM!) and let them give  
information about their ~~site~~ sites*

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

E-mail: \_\_\_\_\_

**\* PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. \***

**Panel Session and  
Paper Discussion  
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION  
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS  
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE  
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): \_\_\_\_\_

Panel Session or Paper Discussion: Fed Facs Remediation, Redevelopment/Reuse.

Date: 5/27/04

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent:  EPA  Other (Specify) \_\_\_\_\_

I am:  RPM  Other (Specify) \_\_\_\_\_

Number of years of experience in your present position: 17

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): A

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree  Agree  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: Topics are timely and good for current issues at not only Remedial NPL sites but also

3. The session was well organized.

Private sites, Especial Info management Community Based Stewardship.

Strongly Agree  Agree  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: Speakers well organized, and knowledgeable and well coordinated.

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree  Agree  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree     Agree     Disagree     Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree     Agree     Disagree     Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: The REUSE ISSUES of NR SITES  
IS extremely important.

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: \_\_\_\_\_

Lengthened: \_\_\_\_\_

Added: \_\_\_\_\_

Omitted: \_\_\_\_\_

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

Yes     No

Why or why not? Appropriate content details on  
technical ~~and~~, administrative / governmental

Additional Comments:

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

E-mail: \_\_\_\_\_

**\* PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. \***

**Panel Session and  
Paper Discussion  
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION  
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS  
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE  
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): \_\_\_\_\_

Panel Session or Paper Discussion: Fed For Reuse Papers

Date: May 27 2004

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent:  EPA  Other (Specify) \_\_\_\_\_

I am:  RPM  Other (Specify) \_\_\_\_\_

Number of years of experience in your present position: 12

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): A

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree  Agree  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: Reuse will happen - we need to understand how other folks are dealing with the situation.

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree  Agree  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree  Agree  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree     Agree     Disagree     Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree     Agree     Disagree     Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: \_\_\_\_\_

Lengthened: \_\_\_\_\_

Added: \_\_\_\_\_

Omitted: \_\_\_\_\_

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

Yes                       No

Why or why not? \_\_\_\_\_

*Reuse discussions are important -*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Additional Comments:

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

E-mail: \_\_\_\_\_

**\* PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. \***

**Panel Session and  
Paper Discussion  
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION  
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS  
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE  
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): \_\_\_\_\_

Panel Session or  
Paper Discussion: Fed Facilities - Remediation, Redevelopment & Reuse

Date: May 27, 2004

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent:  EPA  Other (Specify) State of Delaware

I am:  RPM  Other (Specify) State Project Manager

Number of years of experience in your present position: 2

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): B

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree  Agree  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree  Agree  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree  Agree  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree     Agree     Disagree     Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree     Agree     Disagree     Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: \_\_\_\_\_

Lengthened: \_\_\_\_\_

Added: \_\_\_\_\_

Omitted: \_\_\_\_\_

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

Yes                       No

Why or why not? \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Additional Comments:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Presenters were very knowledgeable -  
able to answer all questions.  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

E-mail: \_\_\_\_\_

**\* PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. \***

**Panel Session and  
Paper Discussion  
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION  
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS  
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE  
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): \_\_\_\_\_

Panel Session or Paper Discussion: Federal Facilities Remediation, Redevelopment and Reuse Paper Discussion

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent:  EPA  Other (Specify) state

I am:  RPM  Other (Specify) \_\_\_\_\_

Number of years of experience in your present position: 7 years

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): A

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree  Agree  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree  Agree  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree  Agree  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree     Agree     Disagree     Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree     Agree     Disagree     Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: \_\_\_\_\_

Lengthened: \_\_\_\_\_

Added: \_\_\_\_\_

Omitted: \_\_\_\_\_

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

Yes                       No

Why or why not? \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Additional Comments:

Like always there is no happy-ending  
story with Federal Faculties. Although  
it was a very good learning experience.  
Excellent instructors. I discovered  
that there is no standard procedure  
to deal with Fed. Faculty.

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

E-mail: \_\_\_\_\_

**\* PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. \***

**Panel Session and  
Paper Discussion  
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION  
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS  
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE  
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): \_\_\_\_\_

Panel Session or  
Paper Discussion: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent:  EPA  Other (Specify) \_\_\_\_\_

I am:  RPM  Other (Specify) \_\_\_\_\_

Number of years of experience in your present position: 12.

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): C

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree  Agree  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: I misunderstood the nature  
of the presentation

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree  Agree  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree  Agree  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: Not enough handouts

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree     Agree     Disagree     Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree     Agree     Disagree     Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: \_\_\_\_\_

Lengthened: \_\_\_\_\_

Added: \_\_\_\_\_

Omitted: \_\_\_\_\_

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

\_\_\_\_ Yes                      \_\_\_\_ No

Why or why not? \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Additional Comments:

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

E-mail: \_\_\_\_\_

**\* PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. \***

**Panel Session and  
Paper Discussion  
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION  
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS  
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE  
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): \_\_\_\_\_

Panel Session or  
Paper Discussion: COMMUNITY BASE STEWARDSHIP

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent:  EPA  Other (Specify) \_\_\_\_\_

I am:  RPM  Other (Specify) \_\_\_\_\_

Number of years of experience in your present position: 10

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): A

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree  Agree  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree  Agree  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree  Agree  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree     Agree     Disagree     Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree     Agree     Disagree     Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: \_\_\_\_\_  
Lengthened: \_\_\_\_\_  
Added: \_\_\_\_\_  
Omitted: \_\_\_\_\_

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

\_\_\_ Yes                      \_\_\_ No

Why or why not? \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Additional Comments:

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

E-mail: \_\_\_\_\_

**\* PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. \***

**Panel Session and  
Paper Discussion  
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION  
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS  
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE  
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): \_\_\_\_\_

Panel Session or Paper Discussion: Federal Facility Redevelopment

Date: 5/37/04

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent:  EPA  Other (Specify) \_\_\_\_\_  
I am:  RPM  Other (Specify) \_\_\_\_\_

Number of years of experience in your present position: 15

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): B

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree  Agree  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree  Agree  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree  Agree  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree     Agree     Disagree     Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree     Agree     Disagree     Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: \_\_\_\_\_

Lengthened: \_\_\_\_\_

Added: \_\_\_\_\_

Omitted: \_\_\_\_\_

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

Yes                       No

Why or why not? \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Additional Comments:

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

E-mail: \_\_\_\_\_

**\* PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. \***

**Panel Session and  
Paper Discussion  
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION  
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS  
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE  
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): William A. McFarland

Panel Session or  
Paper Discussion: Fed. Fac.'s - Remed., Redevel., & Reuse Paper Dis.

Date: Thurs, 5/27/04

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent:  EPA  Other (Specify) U. S. Army Corps of Engineers

I am:  RPM  Other (Specify) Senior Project Manager

Number of years of experience in your present position: 15

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): B

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree  Agree  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree  Agree  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree  Agree  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree     Agree     Disagree     Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree     Agree     Disagree     Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: \_\_\_\_\_

Lengthened: \_\_\_\_\_

Added: \_\_\_\_\_

Omitted: \_\_\_\_\_

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

Yes                       No

Why or why not? \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Additional Comments:

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

E-mail: \_\_\_\_\_

**\* PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. \***

**Panel Session and  
Paper Discussion  
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION  
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS  
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE  
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): \_\_\_\_\_

Panel Session or Paper Discussion: FF Redevelopment & Reuse

Date: 5/27/04

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent:  EPA  Other (Specify) \_\_\_\_\_

I am:  RPM  Other (Specify) HO Rep

Number of years of experience in your present position: 3

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): A

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree  Agree  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree  Agree  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree  Agree  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree     Agree     Disagree     Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree     Agree     Disagree     Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: A privatization of Fed fac panel  
should be considered for next year's conf.

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: \_\_\_\_\_  
Lengthened: \_\_\_\_\_  
Added: en \_\_\_\_\_  
Omitted: \_\_\_\_\_

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

Yes                       No

Why or why not? \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Additional Comments:

Privatization of federal facilities should  
be a panel next year.  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

E-mail: \_\_\_\_\_

**\* PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. \***

**Panel Session and  
Paper Discussion  
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION  
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS  
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE  
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): Rob Pope

Panel Session or Paper Discussion: Fed Facs Reuse - Revitalization

Date: 5/27/04

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent:  EPA  Other (Specify) \_\_\_\_\_

I am:  RPM  Other (Specify) \_\_\_\_\_

Number of years of experience in your present position: 13

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): \_\_\_\_\_

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree  Agree  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree  Agree  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree  Agree  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree  Agree  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree  Agree  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: Really need update on Wey  
mouth NAS Post Transfer Plan

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: \_\_\_\_\_  
Lengthened: Info on Post Transfer Plans  
Added: \_\_\_\_\_  
Omitted: \_\_\_\_\_

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

\_\_\_ Yes

No

Why or why not?

Good session, but needed  
to be called something else  
I expected ideas on actual reuse

Additional Comments:

+ eco revitalization examples

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

E-mail: \_\_\_\_\_

**\* PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. \***

**Panel Session and  
Paper Discussion  
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION  
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS  
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE  
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): GLENN KISTNER

Panel Session or  
Paper Discussion: South Weymouth - privatization

Date: 5-27

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent:  EPA  Other (Specify) \_\_\_\_\_

I am:  RPM  Other (Specify) \_\_\_\_\_

Number of years of experience in your present position: 20

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): B

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree  Agree  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree  Agree  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree  Agree  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree     Agree     Disagree     Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree     Agree     Disagree     Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: \_\_\_\_\_

Lengthened: \_\_\_\_\_

Added: \_\_\_\_\_

Omitted: \_\_\_\_\_

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

Yes     No

Why or why not? \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Additional Comments:

*groundbreaking information - very timely*

*have overheads available as handouts*

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

E-mail: \_\_\_\_\_

**\* PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. \***