

**Panel Session and
Paper Discussion
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): _____

Panel Session or
Paper Discussion: Obtaining funding in lean times

Date: 5.27.04

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____

I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: 19

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): _____

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Added: _____

Omitted: _____

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

___ Yes No

Why or why not? Did not address most of items on agenda - did not talk @ sketching construction period or clearer risk delineation.

Additional Comments:

would be helpful to learn some of the ranking factors that are used to prioritize sites for funding - what the considers top priorities vs low priorities.

More in depth discussion on sequencing construction would be good. Systemic impacts of doing one portion of construction on future portions will help plan RA schedules

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

Did not address most of items on abstract

* PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. *

**Panel Session and
Paper Discussion
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): _____

Panel Session or Paper Discussion: Funding for Lead Times

Date: 5/27/2004

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____

I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: 1

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): A

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree
 No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: Less on budget problem

Lengthened: Solutions to work around budget problems

Added: _____

Omitted: _____

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

Yes No

Why or why not? Good reinforcement of current situation in the budget

Additional Comments:

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

**Panel Session and
Paper Discussion
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): _____

Panel Session or
Paper Discussion: Funding In lean Times

Date: 5/27/04

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____

I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: _____

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): _____

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Added: _____

Omitted: _____

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

___ Yes ___ No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

Fascinating - but (really, truly) no other
comments!

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

**Panel Session and
Paper Discussion
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): _____

Panel Session or
Paper Discussion: funding in lean times

Date: 5/27/2004

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____

I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: _____

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): A

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Added: _____

Omitted: _____

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

Yes No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

**Panel Session and
Paper Discussion
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): Debbie King

Panel Session or Paper Discussion: Funding in "Lean" Times

Date: 5/27/04

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____

I am: RPM Other (Specify) CIC

Number of years of experience in your present position: 4 (10 as an RPM)

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): A

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: Great course - very timely

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Added: _____

Omitted: _____

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

___ Yes ___ No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

**Panel Session and
Paper Discussion
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): A Bain

Panel Session or Paper Discussion: Funding Lean Times

Date: 5/27/04

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____
I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: 3

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): B

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: a bit disjointed initially. Ross jumped in to ensure other presentation happened.

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: Lancee's OII case study was great.

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List topics that you believe should be:

N/A

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Added: _____

Omitted: _____

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

Yes No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address. *No*

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

**Panel Session and
Paper Discussion
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): Helmi Ks Youni

Panel Session or
Paper Discussion: Funding in less times

Date: 5/27/04

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____

I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: 1

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): A

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Added: _____

Omitted: _____

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

Yes No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

**Panel Session and
Paper Discussion
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): PHILIP H. ALLEN

Panel Session or
Paper Discussion: OBTAINING FUNDING IN LEAN TIMES

Date: 5/27/04

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____

I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: _____

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): _____

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Added: _____

Omitted: _____

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

Yes No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

**Panel Session and
Paper Discussion
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): _____

Panel Session or Paper Discussion: Finding Funding in Lean Times

Date: May 27, 2004

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____

I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: _____

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): _____

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Added: _____

Omitted: _____

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

Yes No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

**Panel Session and
Paper Discussion
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): _____

Panel Session or Paper Discussion: Funding in lean times

Date: 5/27

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____
I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____
Number of years of experience in your present position: 27

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): A-

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.
 Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree
Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The session was well organized.
 Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree
Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.
 Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree
 No Case Studies or Examples Were Used
Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: Frisco's Visuals
Lengthened: Smith's presentation
Added: _____
Omitted: _____

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

Yes No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

**Panel Session and
Paper Discussion
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): _____

Panel Session or
Paper Discussion: OBTAIND FUNDING IN LEAN TIMES

Date: 5-27-04

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____

I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: 16

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): A

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Added: _____

Omitted: _____

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

___ Yes ___ No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

**Panel Session and
Paper Discussion
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): _____

Panel Session or Paper Discussion: Obtain Funding in Lean Times

Date: 5/27

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____

I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: 15

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): A

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Added: _____

Omitted: _____

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

___ Yes No

Why or why not? I was expecting more discussion
on how to fund: techniques, strategies, etc.

Additional Comments:

Not a good balance among panel
members. A couple talked ~~the~~ a lot (although
they were good), and others barely spoke at
all.
Lance's presentation was really good.

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

**Panel Session and
Paper Discussion
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): _____

Panel Session or Paper Discussion: Funding in Lean TIMES

Date: 5/27/04

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____

I am: RPM Other (Specify) HO

Number of years of experience in your present position: 7yrs total 14yrs

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): B

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: I think they should discuss ways or activities that can be helpful to RPH in moving their projects forward when funding is not provided in full.

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: Discussion on why we don't have the ^{Dist}ts (history)

Lengthened: _____

Added: see above #6

Omitted: _____

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

___ Yes Somewhat! ___ No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

**Panel Session and
Paper Discussion
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): _____

Panel Session or Paper Discussion: Obtaining Funding in Lean Times

Date: 5/27/04

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____

I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: 17

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): B

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The session was well organized. ~~Neutral~~

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree Agree ~~Disagree~~ Neutral Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Added: _____

Omitted: _____

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

___ Yes ___ No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

The funding sessions should focus
on ways to reduce costs,
and how to move more money from
pipeline → RA.

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

**Panel Session and
Paper Discussion
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): _____

Panel Session or
Paper Discussion: Funding in Lean Times

Date: 5/27/04

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____

I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: 7

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): B-

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Added: _____

Omitted: _____

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

Yes No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

**Panel Session and
Paper Discussion
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): HILARY THORNTON

Panel Session or
Paper Discussion: OBTAINING FUNDING IN LEAN TIMES

Date: 5/27/05

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____

I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: 7

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): ~~B~~ B

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: THIS PROBLEM WILL GET WORSE
BEFORE IT GETS BETTER

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Added: _____

Omitted: _____

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

___ Yes ___ No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

**Panel Session and
Paper Discussion
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): Rob Weber

Panel Session or
Paper Discussion: Obtaining Funding in Lean Times Panel

Date: 5-27-04

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) KANSAS Dept of Health & Env.

I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: 12

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): A

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree
 No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: N/A

Lengthened: MORE DISCUSSION ON INTERNAL POLITICS

Added: CASE STUDY FROM EACH REGION ON HOW FUNDING WAS OBTAINED

Omitted: N/A

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

Yes No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

MORE EXAMPLES ARE NEEDED

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: Rob Weber

E-mail: rweber@KDHG.STATE.KS.US

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

**Panel Session and
Paper Discussion
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): Kip Houston

Panel Session or Paper Discussion: Obtaining Funding in Lean Times

Date: 5/27/04

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) USACE

I am: RPM Other (Specify) National Superfund/Brownfields

Number of years of experience in your present position: 3 Support Manager

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): A

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Added: _____

Omitted: _____

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

Yes No

Why or why not? *Sanchez's*
Clear discussion of history and
challenges the program is facing

Additional Comments:

Generated good questions and
discussion

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

**Panel Session and
Paper Discussion
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): _____

Panel Session or
Paper Discussion: Funding in Lean Times

Date: _____

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____

I am: RCRA RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: _____

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): _____

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Added: _____

Omitted: _____

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

Yes No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***