

**Panel Session and
Paper Discussion
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): Promoting consistency in sampling and cleanup of
Panel Session or Paper Discussion: Asbestos Sites Panel
Date: May 27, 2004

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____
I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____
Number of years of experience in your present position: 17

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): A
2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.
 Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree
Comments/Suggestions: _____
3. The session was well organized.
 Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree
Comments/Suggestions: _____
4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.
 Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree
 No Case Studies or Examples Were Used
Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Added: _____

Omitted: _____

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

Yes No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: Sandra Bourgeois

E-mail: Bourgeois.Sandra@EPA.gov

Maybe, depending on the amount of work that gets accomplished at my site relative to asbestos cleanup.

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

**Panel Session and
Paper Discussion
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): _____

Panel Session or Paper Discussion: Asbestos

Date: 5/27/04

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) State

I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: 5

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): _____

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: Bulk soil sampling method.

Added: _____

Omitted: _____

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

Yes No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

Very Good discussion of fiber definition. Method
discussion was good.

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

**Panel Session and
Paper Discussion
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): _____

Panel Session or Paper Discussion: Promoting Consistency in Sampling & Cleanup of Asbestos

Date: _____

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____

I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: _____

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): _____

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Added: _____

Omitted: _____

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

Yes No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

*Useful update on status of asbestos sampling -
critical for use at sites - lets you know what methods to
use.*

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

**Panel Session and
Paper Discussion
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): _____

Panel Session or
Paper Discussion: Promoting Consistency in Sampling and Cleanup of Asbestos Sites

Date: 5/27/04

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) State

I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: 5

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): A

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Added: _____

Omitted: _____

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

___ Yes No

Why or why not? The course title included asbestos "cleanup"
but very little time was spent on cleanup

Additional Comments:

Since there is such fluidity and uncertainty with asbestos
issues currently, the class was very helpful in providing the
~~most~~ most recent outlook on asbestos characterization. This
course should definitely continue to be presented in the
future.

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

**Panel Session and
Paper Discussion
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): F. V. V. V.

Panel Session or Paper Discussion: Remediation of Military Munitions

Date: May 27th

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____

I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: _____

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): A

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Added: _____

Omitted: _____

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

____ Yes ____ No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

**Panel Session and
Paper Discussion
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): David Williams

Panel Session or
Paper Discussion: Asbestos Methodology

Date: 27

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____

I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: 14

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): _____

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Added: _____

Omitted: _____

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

____ Yes ____ No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

**Panel Session and
Paper Discussion
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): _____

Panel Session or
Paper Discussion: Asbestos Panel

Date: _____

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____

I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: _____

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): B

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree
 No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Added: _____

Omitted: _____

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

Yes No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

**Panel Session and
Paper Discussion
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): Terry Hosaka

Panel Session or
Paper Discussion: ASBESTOS Sampling

Date: 27 May

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) State - OR

I am: RPM Other (Specify) CU Program Manager

Number of years of experience in your present position: 6

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the session a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): B

2. Overall, information presented during the session will be useful to me on the job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The session was well organized.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the session.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The issues discussed were relevant to my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. This topic should continue to be offered at future conferences.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List topics that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Added: _____

Omitted: _____

8. Did the abstract and/or name of the session meet your expectations?

Yes No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

Would you be interested in presenting a paper or participating in a panel during the 2005 NARPM Annual Training Conference. If so, please print your name and e-mail address.

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***