

Course Evaluation Form



2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA

Name (optional): _____

Course Title: LINES OF EVIDENCE @ SEDIMENT SITES

Date: 3/25/04

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____

I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: 14+

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the course a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): A

2. Overall, the presentation of the course was appropriate for my level of experience.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The content of the course is relevant for my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the course.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The course has a good balance of lecture material, visual aids, case studies or examples, and discussion time.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. The course materials will be useful as a reference for my job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List course topics or concepts that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Omitted: _____

Added: _____

8. Do you believe the instructional methodology used for this course is the most appropriate, or do you think an alternative method, such as videotape-based training, panel discussions, or computer-based training, might be employed?

9. Would you recommend this course to a colleague? Yes No

Why or why not? _____

10. Did the abstract and/or name of the course meet your expectations? Yes No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

DAVE MOUNT DID A GOOD JOB OF BRINGING PRACTICAL EXPERIENCE TO
INTERPRETING MANY CONFLICTING LINES OF EVIDENCE

Would you be interested in developing and/or instructing future offerings of this topic or course? If so, please print your name and e-mail address:

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

Course Evaluation Form



2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA

Name (optional): _____

Course Title: Widespread Evidence @ Cont. Sediment Sites

Date: 8/25/04

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____
I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: 2

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the course a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): C

2. Overall, the presentation of the course was appropriate for my level of experience.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The content of the course is relevant for my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the course.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The course has a good balance of lecture material, visual aids, case studies or examples, and discussion time.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: When should these techniques be implemented? Daily RI/PS? When is RI/PS? Daily screening?

6. The course materials will be ^{useful} as a reference for my job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List course topics or concepts that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Omitted: _____

Added: _____

8. Do you believe the instructional methodology used for this course is the most appropriate, or do you think an alternative method, such as videotape-based training, panel discussions, or computer-based training, might be employed?

9. Would you recommend this course to a colleague? ___ Yes ___ No

Why or why not? *Too technical. Not enough application to actual cleanups*

10. Did the abstract and/or name of the course meet your expectations? ___ Yes ___ No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

How is this info relevant to cleanups? z f. is not obvious.

And is pore water testing relevant to setting cleanup goals? More emphasis on that next time.

Would you be interested in developing and/or instructing future offerings of this topic or course? If so, please print your name and e-mail address:

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

Course Evaluation Form



2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA

Name (optional): Janice Suter

Course Title: Contaminated Sed's - P.M.

Date: 5-25-04

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) State
I am: RPM Other (Specify) State PM

Number of years of experience in your present position: 12

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the course a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): A

2. Overall, the presentation of the course was appropriate for my level of experience.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: great - new info - detailed info on approach - good case studies

3. The content of the course is relevant for my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the course.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The course has a good balance of lecture material, visual aids, case studies or examples, and discussion time.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. The course materials will be useful as a reference for my job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List course topics or concepts that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Omitted: _____

Added: _____

8. Do you believe the instructional methodology used for this course is the most appropriate, or do you think an alternative method, such as videotape-based training, panel discussions, or computer-based training, might be employed?

*yes - great discussion of methods, then applications
w/ case study*

9. Would you recommend this course to a colleague? Yes No

Why or why not? *Valuable info
Application oriented*

10. Did the abstract and/or name of the course meet your expectations? Yes No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

*couldnt read many of the slides either on
screen or in handout - print too small!*

Best Session so far!

Would you be interested in developing and/or instructing future offerings of this topic or course? If so, please print your name and e-mail address:

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

Course Evaluation Form



2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA

Name (optional): _____

Course Title: LINE OF EVIDENCE @ CONTAMINATED SITES.

Date: 5/25/04

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____

I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: 9

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the course a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): C

2. Overall, the presentation of the course was appropriate for my level of experience.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The content of the course is relevant for my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the course.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The course has a good balance of lecture material, visual aids, case studies or examples, and discussion time.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. The course materials will be useful as a reference for my job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: → SOME PROBLEMS W/ ALIGNMENT ON PRESENTATION
MATC. → IT PROVIDES THE PEOPLE I CONTACTS. NOT
NECESSARILY THE REF.

7. List course topics or concepts that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: CASE STUDIES

Omitted: _____

Added: _____

8. Do you believe the instructional methodology used for this course is the most appropriate, or do you think an alternative method, such as videotape-based training, panel discussions, or computer-based training, might be employed?

→ CONTINUE GIVING "TAKE HOME MESSAGE", MOST HELPFUL.
ALONG W/ "THINGS TO THINK ABOUT" STATEMENT.

9. Would you recommend this course to a colleague? Yes No

Why or why not? IF MORE CASE STUDIES ARE PRESENTED.

10. Did the abstract and/or name of the course meet your expectations? Yes No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

ALL INFORMATION PROVIDED IS GOOD HOWEVER, CONCENTRATING
ON ONE SEGMENT OF THE TALK AND GOING INTO DETAIL WOULD HAVE
PROVIDED BETTER AND USEFUL COURSE.

→ RATHER THAN MAKING US AWARE OF EXISTENCE, AN ACTUAL
COURSE HOW TO WOULD BE APPRECIATED.

Would you be interested in developing and/or instructing future offerings of this topic or course? If so, please print your name and e-mail address:

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

**Course
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): Terry Hosaka

Course Title: Using lines of evidence

Date: 25 May

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) State - OR
I am: RPM Other (Specify) CU Program Manager
Number of years of experience in your present position: 6

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the course a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): B

2. Overall, the presentation of the course was appropriate for my level of experience.
 Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The content of the course is relevant for my job responsibilities.
 Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the course.
 Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used
Comments/Suggestions: Best part

5. The course has a good balance of lecture material, visual aids, case studies or examples, and discussion time.
 Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. The course materials will be useful as a reference for my job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: Slides were jam packed & unreadable when printed @ 4 slides per page. Hopefully

7. List course topics or concepts that you believe should be: they will all be on a

Shortened: methodology Conference C D-Room

Lengthened: Case studies / more on ELP

Omitted: _____

Added: hypothetical cases -> breakout groups

8. Do you believe the instructional methodology used for this course is the most appropriate, or do you think an alternative method, such as videotape-based training, panel discussions, or computer-based training, might be employed?

A lot of stuff could go directly to internet based training. more hands on training would be helpful.

9. Would you recommend this course to a colleague? Yes No

Why or why not? _____

10. Did the abstract and/or name of the course meet your expectations? Yes No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

Would you be interested in developing and/or instructing future offerings of this topic or course? If so, please print your name and e-mail address:

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

Course Evaluation Form



2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA

Name (optional): _____

Course Title: Using Lines of Evidence @ Contaminated Sediment Sites

Date: _____

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____
I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: 1 @ EPA ; 12 other

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the course a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): _____

2. Overall, the presentation of the course was appropriate for my level of experience.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The content of the course is relevant for my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the course.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The course has a good balance of lecture material, visual aids, case studies or examples, and discussion time.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. The course materials will be useful as a reference for my job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: Aside from excess slides; 4/page are unreadable and level of detail was also unreadable on screen.

7. List course topics or concepts that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Omitted: → last segment - was basic equilibrium partitioning

Added: → how principles apply to receptors higher in food chain (fish-eating birds)
translate or

8. Do you believe the instructional methodology used for this course is the most appropriate, or do you think an alternative method, such as videotape-based training, panel discussions, or computer-based training, might be employed?

9. Would you recommend this course to a colleague? Yes No

Why or why not? _____

10. Did the abstract and/or name of the course meet your expectations? Yes No

Why or why not? So discussion of lower budget projects would be helpful too. And especially for sites that never score out clearly as high risk

Additional Comments:

What if setting clean-up criteria based on top of food chain?
- presented process becomes only a tiny piece of process

Didn't get handouts for last segment on EqP.

Would you be interested in developing and/or instructing future offerings of this topic or course? If so, please print your name and e-mail address:

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

Course Evaluation Form



2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA

Name (optional): _____
Course Title: Using Lines of Evidence At...

Date: 5/25/04 1:30

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____
I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: 22

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the course a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): A

2. Overall, the presentation of the course was appropriate for my level of experience.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The content of the course is relevant for my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the course.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The course has a good balance of lecture material, visual aids, case studies or examples, and discussion time.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. The course materials will be useful as a reference for my job.

- Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List course topics or concepts that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Omitted: _____

Added: _____

8. Do you believe the instructional methodology used for this course is the most appropriate, or do you think an alternative method, such as videotape-based training, panel discussions, or computer-based training, might be employed?

9. Would you recommend this course to a colleague? Yes No

Why or why not? _____

10. Did the abstract and/or name of the course meet your expectations? Yes No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

Would you be interested in developing and/or instructing future offerings of this topic or course? If so, please print your name and e-mail address:

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

Course Evaluation Form



2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA

Name (optional): Rafael Caranova

Course Title: Using Lines of Evidence at Contaminated Sites

Date: 5-25-04

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____
I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: _____

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the course a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): _____

2. Overall, the presentation of the course was appropriate for my level of experience.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The content of the course is relevant for my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the course.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The course has a good balance of lecture material, visual aids, case studies or examples, and discussion time.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. The course materials will be useful as a reference for my job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List course topics or concepts that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Omitted: _____

Added: _____

8. Do you believe the instructional methodology used for this course is the most appropriate, or do you think an alternative method, such as videotape-based training, panel discussions, or computer-based training, might be employed?

9. Would you recommend this course to a colleague? Yes No

Why or why not? _____

10. Did the abstract and/or name of the course meet your expectations? Yes No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

Handouts (lettering) too small, not
usable.

Would you be interested in developing and/or instructing future offerings of this topic or course? If so, please print your name and e-mail address:

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

Course Evaluation Form



2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA

Name (optional): _____

Course Title: Lines of Evidence

Date: 5/25/04

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____
I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: 10

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the course a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): A

2. Overall, the presentation of the course was appropriate for my level of experience.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The content of the course is relevant for my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the course.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: great site-specific examples

5. The course has a good balance of lecture material, visual aids, case studies or examples, and discussion time.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. The course materials will be useful as a reference for my job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List course topics or concepts that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Omitted: _____

Added: *more case examples by D. Monks*

8. Do you believe the instructional methodology used for this course is the most appropriate, or do you think an alternative method, such as videotape-based training, panel discussions, or computer-based training, might be employed?

9. Would you recommend this course to a colleague? Yes No

Why or why not? _____

10. Did the abstract and/or name of the course meet your expectations? Yes No

Why or why not? *fully like specific analysis of sel. data.*

Additional Comments:

David Monks presented an excellent lecture

with interesting presentations - C. Zeller (decisions for studies) & D. Monks (importance of complexities of data to set remedial goals),

Would you be interested in developing and/or instructing future offerings of this topic or course? If so, please print your name and e-mail address:

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

Course Evaluation Form



2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA

Name (optional): _____

Course Title: Sediment Tread Approach

Date: 5/25/04 1:30-5:30 PM

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____
I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: _____

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the course a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): _____

2. Overall, the presentation of the course was appropriate for my level of experience.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The content of the course is relevant for my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the course.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The course has a good balance of lecture material, visual aids, case studies or examples, and discussion time.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. The course materials will be useful as a reference for my job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: Need a magnifying glass to read handouts.

7. List course topics or concepts that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: Calcasieu conceptual site model

Omitted: _____

Added: _____

8. Do you believe the instructional methodology used for this course is the most appropriate, or do you think an alternative method, such as videotape-based training, panel discussions, or computer-based training, might be employed?

Method was fine

9. Would you recommend this course to a colleague? ___ Yes No

Why or why not? Too much information. Instructors seemed more concerned about making it through all the slides.

10. Did the abstract and/or name of the course meet your expectations? ___ Yes ___ No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

The topic is extremely useful. Tone down the amount of information presented, so that the principals of the Triad approach are more comprehensible.

Very good "take home message"

Would you be interested in developing and/or instructing future offerings of this topic or course? If so, please print your name and e-mail address:

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

**Course
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): Charles Maurice

Course Title: How to Use Lines of Evidence at Contam. Sed. Sites

Date: Tues. p.m. 5/25

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____
I am: RPM Other (Specify) ORD HSTL for R5

Number of years of experience in your present position: 0

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the course a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): A

2. Overall, the presentation of the course was appropriate for my level of experience.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The content of the course is relevant for my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the course.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The course has a good balance of lecture material, visual aids, case studies or examples, and discussion time.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. The course materials will be useful as a reference for my job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List course topics or concepts that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Omitted: _____

Added: _____

8. Do you believe the instructional methodology used for this course is the most appropriate, or do you think an alternative method, such as videotape-based training, panel discussions, or computer-based training, might be employed?

*Adding more discussion could
improve*

9. Would you recommend this course to a colleague? Yes No

Why or why not? _____

10. Did the abstract and/or name of the course meet your expectations? Yes No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

Excellent !!!

Would you be interested in developing and/or instructing future offerings of this topic or course? If so, please print your name and e-mail address:

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

Course Evaluation Form



2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA

Name (optional): SEDIMENTS- USING LINES OF EVIDENCE

Course Title: _____

Date: 5/25/04

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) FDEP
I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: 30ish

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the course a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): ~~F~~ A
2. Overall, the presentation of the course was appropriate for my level of experience.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: SPEAKERS DID A GREAT JOB OF CONVEYING INFORMATION OVER DIFFERENT LEVELS OF EXPERTISE AND SUPPORTING ONE ANOTHER.
3. The content of the course is relevant for my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____
4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the course.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____
5. The course has a good balance of lecture material, visual aids, case studies or examples, and discussion time.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

TALKS WERE WELL COORDINATED SO THAT ONE SPEAKER AFTER EXPLAINED OR DISCUSSED THE OTHER SPEAKERS TOPIC FURTHER OR FROM A DIFFERENT ASPECT.

6. The course materials will be useful as a reference for my job.

- Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List course topics or concepts that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____
Lengthened: _____
Omitted: _____
Added: _____

8. Do you believe the instructional methodology used for this course is the most appropriate, or do you think an alternative method, such as videotape-based training, panel discussions, or computer-based training, might be employed?

9. Would you recommend this course to a colleague? Yes No

Why or why not? _____

10. Did the abstract and/or name of the course meet your expectations? Yes No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

Would you be interested in developing and/or instructing future offerings of this topic or course? If so, please print your name and e-mail address:

Name: _____
E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

**Course
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): _____

Course Title: Sediment WOE Training

Date: Tues, PM

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____

I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: _____

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the course a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): _____

2. Overall, the presentation of the course was appropriate for my level of experience.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The content of the course is relevant for my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the course.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The course has a good balance of lecture material, visual aids, case studies or examples, and discussion time.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. The course materials will be useful as a reference for my job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List course topics or concepts that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____
Lengthened: _____
Omitted: _____
Added: _____

8. Do you believe the instructional methodology used for this course is the most appropriate, or do you think an alternative method, such as videotape-based training, panel discussions, or computer-based training, might be employed?

No, some slides were word perfect pages that could not be read.

9. Would you recommend this course to a colleague? Yes No

Why or why not? _____

10. Did the abstract and/or name of the course meet your expectations? Yes No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

Would you be interested in developing and/or instructing future offerings of this topic or course? If so, please print your name and e-mail address:

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

**Course
Evaluation Form**



**2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS
ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA**

Name (optional): _____

Course Title: _____

Date: _____

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____

I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: _____

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the course a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): _____

2. Overall, the presentation of the course was appropriate for my level of experience.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The content of the course is relevant for my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the course.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The course has a good balance of lecture material, visual aids, case studies or examples, and discussion time.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. The course materials will be useful as a reference for my job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List course topics or concepts that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____
Lengthened: _____
Omitted: _____
Added: _____

8. Do you believe the instructional methodology used for this course is the most appropriate, or do you think an alternative method, such as videotape-based training, panel discussions, or computer-based training, might be employed?

9. Would you recommend this course to a colleague? ___ Yes ___ No

Why or why not? _____

10. Did the abstract and/or name of the course meet your expectations? ___ Yes ___ No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments: *USGS slides way too unreadable.
Should respect his audience!*

Would you be interested in developing and/or instructing future offerings of this topic or course? If so, please print your name and e-mail address:

Name: _____
E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

Course Evaluation Form



2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA

Name (optional): _____

Course Title: Sediment Evaluations

Date: 5/24

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____
I am: RPM Other (Specify) Focus Forum

Number of years of experience in your present position: _____

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the course a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): B-/C+

2. Overall, the presentation of the course was appropriate for my level of experience.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The content of the course is relevant for my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the course.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The course has a good balance of lecture material, visual aids, case studies or examples, and discussion time.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. The course materials will be useful as a reference for my job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List course topics or concepts that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____
Lengthened: _____
Omitted: _____
Added: _____

8. Do you believe the instructional methodology used for this course is the most appropriate, or do you think an alternative method, such as videotape-based training, panel discussions, or computer-based training, might be employed?

The overheads & material was very technical and the information in overheads were too detailed and hard to follow.

9. Would you recommend this course to a colleague? ___ Yes No

Why or why not? _____

10. Did the abstract and/or name of the course meet your expectations? ___ Yes ___ No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

Would you be interested in developing and/or instructing future offerings of this topic or course? If so, please print your name and e-mail address:

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

Course Evaluation Form



2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA

Name (optional): _____

Course Title: _____

Date: _____

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____

I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: _____

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the course a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): _____

2. Overall, the presentation of the course was appropriate for my level of experience.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The content of the course is relevant for my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the course.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: *Additional examples of how conflicted*

DATA were needed.

5. The course has a good balance of lecture material, visual aids, case studies or examples, and discussion time.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. The course materials will be useful as a reference for my job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List course topics or concepts that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Omitted: _____

Added: *How Concentrations are determined in study
were used to affect final clean up criteria*

8. Do you believe the instructional methodology used for this course is the most appropriate, or do you think an alternative method, such as videotape-based training, panel discussions, or computer-based training, might be employed?

9. Would you recommend this course to a colleague? ___ Yes ___ No

Why or why not? _____

10. Did the abstract and/or name of the course meet your expectations? ___ Yes ___ No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

*Add - what tests studies were found to
be most useful for certain types sites
And certain types of ecosystems
which tests add minimal information*

Would you be interested in developing and/or instructing future offerings of this topic or course? If so, please print your name and e-mail address:

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

Course Evaluation Form



2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA

Name (optional): _____

Course Title: Sediment Lines of Evidence

Date: 5/25/04

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____
I am: RPM Other (Specify) _____

Number of years of experience in your present position: 15

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the course a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): A

2. Overall, the presentation of the course was appropriate for my level of experience.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The content of the course is relevant for my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the course.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The course has a good balance of lecture material, visual aids, case studies or examples, and discussion time.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. The course materials will be useful as a reference for my job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List course topics or concepts that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Omitted: _____

Added: _____

8. Do you believe the instructional methodology used for this course is the most appropriate, or do you think an alternative method, such as videotape-based training, panel discussions, or computer-based training, might be employed?

9. Would you recommend this course to a colleague? Yes No

Why or why not? _____

10. Did the abstract and/or name of the course meet your expectations? Yes No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

Would you be interested in developing and/or instructing future offerings of this topic or course? If so, please print your name and e-mail address:

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

Course Evaluation Form



2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA

Name (optional): _____

Course Title: Lines of Evidence at Contaminated Sediment Sites

Date: 5/25/04

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____
I am: RPM Other (Specify) RCRA

Number of years of experience in your present position: _____

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the course a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): B

2. Overall, the presentation of the course was appropriate for my level of experience.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The content of the course is relevant for my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: only suitable for sediment mega-sites

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the course.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The course has a good balance of lecture material, visual aids, case studies or examples, and discussion time.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. The course materials will be useful as a reference for my job.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

7. List course topics or concepts that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Omitted: _____

Added: _____

8. Do you believe the instructional methodology used for this course is the most appropriate, or do you think an alternative method, such as videotape-based training, panel discussions, or computer-based training, might be employed?

9. Would you recommend this course to a colleague? ___ Yes X No, except

Why or why not? only if they manage a sediment mega-site

10. Did the abstract and/or name of the course meet your expectations? X Yes ___ No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

Sediment evaluation will need to be simplified

if there is any hope to address smaller

sites.

Would you be interested in developing and/or instructing future offerings of this topic or course? If so, please print your name and e-mail address:

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***

Course Evaluation Form



2004 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS ANNUAL TRAINING CONFERENCE MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA

Name (optional): Rich Campbell

Course Title: Using Lines of Evidence at Contaminated Sites ^{sediment}

Date: 5/25/04

Please check the appropriate box.

I represent: EPA Other (Specify) _____
I am: RPM Other (Specify) Section Chief

Number of years of experience in your present position: 1 month

Please respond to the following questions.

1. Please give the course a grade (A, B, C, D, or F): _____

2. Overall, the presentation of the course was appropriate for my level of experience.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

3. The content of the course is relevant for my job responsibilities.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

4. The case studies or examples were relevant to the content of the course.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

No Case Studies or Examples Were Used

Comments/Suggestions: _____

5. The course has a good balance of lecture material, visual aids, case studies or examples, and discussion time.

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: _____

6. The course materials will be useful as a reference for my job.

- Strongly Agree
 Agree
 Disagree
 Strongly Disagree

Comments/Suggestions: Print is so small that it is not legible on many slides, colors (or lack of) are also a problem on many. Also, handout slides out of order/too small. Much better in last talk.

7. List course topics or concepts that you believe should be:

Shortened: _____

Lengthened: _____

Omitted: _____

Added: Actual establishment of remediation goals based on eco risk + designing cleanup

8. Do you believe the instructional methodology used for this course is the most appropriate, or do you think an alternative method, such as videotape-based training, panel discussions, or computer-based training, might be employed?

9. Would you recommend this course to a colleague? Yes No

Why or why not? The last talk alone was worth the "cost" of attendance!

10. Did the abstract and/or name of the course meet your expectations? Yes No

Why or why not? _____

Additional Comments:

See #7 -> more attention to remediation goal setting for sediments based on eco risk

Would you be interested in developing and/or instructing future offerings of this topic or course? If so, please print your name and e-mail address:

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

*** PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COURSE FACILITATOR. ***